# The main determinants affecting economic growth

Florin Teodor BOLDEANU<sup>1</sup>, Liliana CONSTANTINESCU<sup>2</sup>

Abstract: Growth theories highlight the evolution and trends in economic thought that shaped the way economic growth is perceived. From the early works of Adam Smith and Malthus to the present day researchers have tried to find the most important determinates that influence growth by formulating new and improved theories and models. In this article we try to offer our point of view in the evolution of the main factors that have an impact on economic growth. There is still not a consensus on the key determinants of growth and an all-encompassing model that includes all the influences has not yet been elaborated.

**Key-words:** economic growth, public expenditure, growth theory

#### 1. Introduction

Economic growth theories and models highlight the different ways in which the present economic activity can have an influence on future economic developments and can also identify sources that may lead to continued economic growth. Researchers and economists reaffirm the need for economic growth for the evolution and well been of the human race. The economic growth theories have evolved over time depending on the period and on the dynamics of economy. Also improvements in mathematical and statistical tools have had a significant impact in formulating new concepts.

Why do we need economic growth? What are the main factors that foster growth? Many researchers, economists and Nobel Prize winners tried to answer these questions. Economic growth can be considered a main factor in the well being and prosperity of billions of people. Industrialization and advances in technology has left a gap between developed countries and poorer ones. For example now, in the 21<sup>st</sup> century the GDP/capita of many poorer countries is lower than the GDP per capita of Europe in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Economic growth was a pinnacle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century that insured the development of the Western World and improved for many people the leaving standards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, boldeanuflorinteodor@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Christian University, Bucharest, lilianauroracon@yahoo.com

## 2. The economic growth concept

Denison (1962) affirmed that economic growth is the increase of real GDP or GDP per capita, an increase of national product that is measured in constant prices.

Economic growth is influences by direct factors like for example human resources (increasing the active population, investing in human capital), natural resources (land, underground resources), the increase in capital employed or technological advancements. Economic growth is also influenced by indirect factors such as institutions (financial institutions, private administrations etc.), the size of the aggregate demand, saving rates and investment rates, the efficiency of the financial system, budgetary and fiscal policies, migration of labour and capital and the efficiency of the government.

There are four major determinants of economic growth: human resources, natural resources, capital formation and technology, but the importance that researchers had given each determinant was always different. Renowned economists provided, over time, the most basic ingredients which appear in modern theories of economic growth.

### 3. Determinants of economic growth

The determinants of economic growth are inter-related factors influencing the growth rate of an economy. There are six major factors that determine growth with for of them been grouped under supply determinants and the other two are efficiency and demand.

The four supply factors are natural resources, capital goods, human resources and technology and they have a direct effect on the value of good and services supplied.

Economic growth measured by GDP means the increase of the growth rate of GDP, but what determines the increase of each component is very different. Public expenditure, capital formation, private or public investment, employment rates, exchange rates etc. have different impacts on economic growth and we should take into account that these determinants have different implications if the states are developed or not. There are also socio-political factors and events that have a major influence on the economic advancement of a country.

There are also differences between economic and non-economic determinants. "Proximate" or economic determinants refers to factors like capital accumulation, technological progress, labour and "ultimate" or non-economic sources refers to factors like government efficiency, institutions, political and administrative systems, cultural and social factors, geography and demography (Acemoglu, 2009).

# 3.1. Public expenditure

There are many conflicting views regarding the effects of public expenditure on economic growth. Ghosh and Gregoriou (2008) and Benos (2009) had different outcomes even if they used the same methodology (the generalized method of moments). Ghosh and Gregoriou (2008) showed that the current component of public spending had a significant and positive effect on growth for a sample of 15 developing countries. Meanwhile, Benos (2009) affirmed that infrastructure and human capital had a significant effect on long-run growth for a group of 14 EU states.

Lamartina and Zaghini (2008), Arpaia and Turini (2008), Szarowská (2012), tested the link between public spending and economic growth using the Wagner's law. For example the results of the analysis made by Lamartina and Zaghini (2008) confirmed Wagner's theory, because the public expenditure elasticity coefficient compared to GDP takes values above par. The analysis also concludes that the expected long-term elasticity coefficient values are higher in countries with lower GDP per capita, suggesting an attempt to realize economic development funded by the state.

Szarowská (2012) analyzed the direct link between public spending and output (GDP) in short and long-term for Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Slovakia and also investigated if public spending is countercyclical. Her results reject the countercyclical effect of the two variables. Many recent papers for OECD, developing countries, Latin America showed that contrary to the theory, public spending is pro cyclical (Alesina et al. 2008; Abbott and Jones, 2011).

The literature also emphasized the importance of education on growth. We consider that a grate contribution to this subject was made by researchers like Barro (1991), Sala-i-Martin et al. (2004). Also education is a key measurement tool and proxy for the quality of human capital in the sense that educated and skilled workers can have an important contribution to production and growth.

Benoit (1978), Pieroni (2009), Ho and Chen (2014) investigated the influence of military spending on economic growth. Many researchers concluded that defence spending has a negative effect on growth. Benoit (1798) was the pioneer in his field and found that for less developed states military spending had a positive effect on economic growth. The assumption that this component of public spending can have a positive effect depends on the samples, the different theoretical specifications and the time period. McDonald and Eger (2010) affirmed that defence expenditure had a small or rather insignificant effect on economic growth. On the other hand Pieroni (2009), Ho and Chen (2014) concluded that military expenditure has a negative influence on economic growth.

Boldeanu and Tache (2015) analysed for 30 European countries the correlation between public spending and growth using the COFOG methodology. They disaggregated each component of public expenditure into their subclassification and used 3 statistical methods for analysis the impact of public

spending on growth. The results showed that most of the government expenditures had a negative impact on economic growth.

# 3.2. Trade components and FDI

There are numerous research papers that analyzed the link between FDI and trade components (exports, imports openness, trade restrictions) and growth. A big number of papers have shown that states that have economies open to trade have higher per capita GDP and grow much faster (Romer, 1990; Barro, 2003).

Tekin (2012) found that a raise in exports has a positive effect on growth. Sultan and Haque (2011) and Simuţ and Meşter (2014) determined a long-term and direct influence between some trade determinants on economic growth. Simuţ and Meşter (2014) identified a direct correlation and causality between exports, openness and economic growth for 10 East European states and Sultan and Haque (2011) found that there is a long-run relationship between exports and growth for India.

The influence of trade on economic growth in the Middle East has been analysed by many researchers. AL- Raimony (2011) investigated the relationship between real export and real import growth and economic growth in Jordan. He concludes that real export growth positively affects growth, while real import growth negatively affects economic growth. In 2014 Abu-Eideh analyzed real domestic exports and imports of goods and services and how they affect real gross domestic product in Palestine (Abu-Eideh 2014). He stated that real domestic exports have a positive impact on growth in Palestine while real domestic imports a negative one.

Openness can have an important influence on economic growth through a multitude of different channels like through technological transfers, competitiveness advantage and increase in economies of scale (Chang et al. 2009). Edward (1992) showed that trade openness has a favourable effect on real GDP and that trade liberalization will accelerate economic growth and countries will be capable to enter more easily foreign markets. Ynikkaya (2003) also analyzed the influence of trade openness on growth for 120 countries between 1970 and 1997. He used several variables to measure openness like for example volume of exports, volume of imports, the sum exports and import and the volume of trade with developed countries. He also used trade policy variables for measuring restriction or openness of trade. The result concluded that for developed and developing states the indicators that measure the volume of trade have a positive effect on growth. An interesting result in our opinion is that trade restrictions have the effect of accelerating growth of GDP for developing countries.

Malešević-Perović et al. (2014) investigated the correlation between trade openness and financial openness and economic growth. The results confirm that trade openness and financial openness (FDI) have a significant impact on growth and also that institutional openness is affecting indirectly the economy via trade and

FDI. Mihuţ and Luṭaş (2014) also found that for the 12 new EU member state, the degree of openness and human capital are positively correlated with economic growth.

In the literature there are also contradictions with the above results regarding openness (Rodriguez and Rodrik, 1999). Singh (2011) concluded that for Australia he obtained a negative impact of imports on economic growth and Ahmad and Kwan (1991) found that for 47 African states there is no link between trade and growth.

Li and Liu (2005) investigated the role of FDI on economic growth for a large sample of countries that are both developing and developed. The results conclude that FDI directly and positively influences growth. The findings of other researchers in the beginning of the 2000s demonstrated that FDI may have a positive link between it and economic growth (Lensink and Morrissey, 2006).

FDI inflows have a positive impact on the economy and can accelerate the rhythm of economic growth especially in developing countries (Johnson 2006). By enabling positive externalities like the diffusion of know-how and new technology, FDI can have a direct impact in the sectors in which these funds were allocated, but also an indirect impact on the whole productivity in the economy (de Vita and Kyaw, 2009).

# 3.3. Non-economic determinants

We mentioned at the beginning of the chapter that "ultimate" determinants refer to factors like government efficiency, institutions, political and administrative systems, cultural and social factors, geography and demography.

Arusha (2009) tested the role of governance on economic growth for 71 developed, developing and transition countries between 1996 and 2003. He demonstrated that countries with high governance grow faster compared with those with weak governance.

An important determinant in the literature is the state institutional framework. The role of institutions was starting to be acknowledged with the seminal work of Lewis (1955) and afterwards by Ayres (1962) and after the beginning of the 90s with research done by Mauro (1995), Rodrik (1999), Acemoglu et al. (2002).

Rodrik (2000) stated that five kind of institutional frameworks (property rights, regulatory institutions, institutions for macroeconomic stabilization, institutions for social insurance and institutions of conflict management) can have a direct outcome on growth and on other determinants of economic growth.

Murphy et al. (1993), Mauro (1995) state that corruption tends to have a negative effect one growth by affecting innovation and other start up activities and may reduce productivity. In the case of innovation, corruption cam limited the new entrepreneurs to enter the market. The enterprises that have to pay a big amount of money for bribes tend to reduce their production and also distort their figures (Svensson, 2003).

Shera et al. (2014) determined the impact of corruption on economic growth for 22 developing countries, former socialist states in the Balkans, East and Central Europe and Asia. The results of their study demonstrated that corruption had statistical significance and a negative influence on economic growth.

In contrast to studies in which corruption is viewed as an inhabitant to economic growth, there are papers that consider that corruption can be beneficiary because it can make the economy more efficient and facilitate for investors a way to pass more restrictive and established rules (Acemoglu and Verdier, 2000; Kaufman and Wei, 2000) considered that in certain circumstances corruption can have a lubrication effect on growth.

Political factors like political regimes, political instability, civil freedom, the perception of politics play also an important role in fostering economic growth and (Lensink et al., 1999). Political instability has a negative effect on companies and their wiliness to invest, can create violence and anarchy in the society and in the end can have serious consequences on economic growth.

Aisen and Veiga (2013) investigated the negative effects of higher degrees of political instability on economic growth for 169 countries from 1960 to 2004. The channels of transmission through which political instability affects economic growth are productivity, physical and human capital accumulation. Also democracy may have a small negative effect on economic growth. Empirical literature is still ambiguous relating the significance of democracy in foster economic growth. It may have a negative or not a significant influence on growth.

Socio-cultural factors also have an important role on economic growth. Ethic diversity and fragmentation, language, religion, civic norms, beliefs are among the socio-cultural determinants that may have an effect on economic growth (Acemoglu, 2009).

Ethnic diversity may have a negative impact on growth by reducing trust. It can have a negative effect on education (low schooling), political instability, underdeveloped financial system, high public deficit, underdeveloped infrastructure.

The importance of geography on growth has been well researched. After World War II there was a surge in the empirical analysis of geography. Braudel (1981-1984), Crosby (1986) and Diamond (1997) analyzed the impact of geography and climate change in Europe and its dominance over the colonies. North-Atlantic and Mediterranean Europe were the creative centres of the world after the Middle Ages ended.

Acemoglu (2009) affirmed that geography can affect in many ways economic growth. Soil quality can have an influence on agricultural productivity. Natural resources directly contribute to the industrialization of a country by essential components for production. Climate has a direct impact on production and attitudes regarding consumption. The topography of a region or state can have a positive or negative impact on transport costs and on communication. And not least, diseases can affect health care, production and the accumulation of human and physical capital.

#### 4. Conclusions

The study of the economic growth theory is a very complex process that evolved in many decades and centuries. We highlighted the main factors that can determine the economy raging from public expenditure, openness and foreign direct investment or non-economic. We have to mention that there are many more determinants that are refined and disaggregated to by use into new and advanced models of economic growth. Also as mathematical and statistical models and tests are produced, the old assumptions have to be retested and if differences occur the theory has to be modified.

### 5. References

- Abbott, Andrew, and Philip Jones. 2011. "Procyclical government spending: Patterns of pressure and prudence in the OECD." *Economics Letters* 111: 230–232.
- Abu-Eideh, Omar Mahmoud. 2014. "Factors of economic growth in Palestine: an empirical Analysis during the period of (1994-2013)." *International Journal of Business and Economic Development* 2(2): 70-84.
- Acemoglu Daron, Simon Johnson, and James Robinson. 2002. "Reversal of fortune: geography and institutions in the making of the modern world income distribution." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117(4): 1231–1294.
- Acemoglu, Daron, and Thierry Verdier. 2000. "The Choice between Market Failures and Corruption." *American Economic Review* 90(1): 194-211.
- Acemoglu, Daron. 2009. *Introduction to modern economic growth*. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Ahmad, Jaleel, and Andy C.C. Kwan. 1991. "Causality between exports and economic growth: Empirical evidence from Africa." *Economics Letters* 37(3): 243-248.
- Aisen, Ari, and Francisco Jose Veiga. 2013. "How does political instability affect economic growth?" *European Journal of Political Economy* 29: 151-167.
- Alesina, Alberto, Filipe Campante, and Guido Tabellini. 2008. "Why is fiscal policy often procyclical?." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 6(5): 1006–1030.
- AL-Raimony, Ahmad. 2011. "The Determinants of Economic Growth in Jordan". *Abhath Al-Yarmouk, Humanities and Social Sciences Series* 27(3): 2297-2305.
- Arpaia Alfonso, and Alessandro Turrini. 2008. "Government Expenditure and Economic Growth in the EU: Long-Run Tendencies and Short-Term Adjustment." SSRN Working Paper Series 300: 800–844.
- Arusha, Cooray V. 2009. "Government Expenditure, Governance and Economic Growth." *Comparative Economic Studies* 51(3): 401-418.

- Ayres, Clarence Edwin. 1962. The theory of economic progress. A study of the Fundamental Economic Development and Cultural Change. New York: Schocken.
- Barro, Robert J. 1991. "Economic growth in a cross-section of countries." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106(2): 407–443.
- Barro, Robert J. 2003. "Determinants of economic Growth in a Panel of Countries" *Annals of Economics and Finance* 4(2): 231-274.
- Benoit, Emile. 1973. *Defense and Economic Growth in Developing Countries*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Benos, Nikos. 2009. Fiscal policy and economic growth: empirical evidence from EU countries. University of Ioannina.
- Boldeanu, Florin Teodor, and Ileana Tache. 2015. "Public expenditures by subdivision and economic growth in Europe." *BASIQ 2015 Proceedings*, Bucharest.
- Braudel, Fernand. 1981-1984. *Civilization and Capitalism*, 15th-18th Century, London: Collins (3 volumes).
- Chang, Roberto, Linda Kaltani, and Norman Loayza. 2009. "Openness is Good for Growth: The Role of Policy Complementarities." *Journal of Development Economics* 90(1): 33-49.
- Crosby, Alfred W. 1986. *Ecological Imperialism: The Biological Expansion of Europe*, 900-1900, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- de Vita, Glauco, and Khine Kyaw. 2009. "Growth effects of FDI and portfolio investment flows to developing countries: a disaggregated analysis by income levels." *Applied Economics Letters* 16: 277–283.
- Denison, Edward F. 1962. "The Sources of Economic Growth in the United States and Alternatives Before Us." *CED Supplementary Paper*, No 13.
- Diamond, Jared M. 1997. Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fate of Human Societies, New York NY: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Edwards, Sebastian. 1992. "Trade orientation, distortions and growth in developing countries." *Journal of Development Economics* 39: 31–57.
- Ghosh, Sugata, and Andros Gregoriou. 2008. "The composition of government spending and growth: Is current or capital spending better?" *Oxford Economic Papers*, 60(3): 484-516.
- Hou, Na, and Bo Chen. 2014. "Military Spending and Economic Growth in an Augmented Solow Model: A Panel Data Investigation for OECD Countries." *Peace Economics, Peace Science, and Public Policy* 20(3): 395-409.
- Johnson, Andreas. 2006. "The Effects of FDI Inflows on Host Country Economic Growth." CESIS Centre of Excellence for Science and Innovation Studies, Royal Institute of Technology Working Paper Series 58: 1-58.
- Kaufmann, Daniel, and Shang-Jin Wei. 2000. "Does 'grease money' speed up the wheels of commerce?." *International Monetary Fund*, Working Paper No. WP/00/64, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.

- Lamartina, Serena, and Andrea Zaghini. 2008. "Increasing public expenditure: Wagner's Law in OECD countries." *German Economic Review* 12(2): 149–164.
- Lensink, Robert, Hong Bo, and Elmer Sterken. 1999. "Does Uncertainty Affect Economic Growth? An Empirical Analysis." *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 135: 379-396.
- Lensink, Robert, and Oliver Morrissey. 2006. "Foreign Direct Investment: Flows, Volatility and the Impact on Growth." *Review of International Economics* 14(3): 478-493.
- Lewis, Arthur. 1955. *The Theory of Economic Growth*. London: George Allen and Unwin.
- Li, Xiaoying, and Xiaming Liu. 2005. "Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth: An Increasingly Endogenous Relationship." *Worm Development* 33(3): 393-407.
- Malešević Perović, Lena, Vladimir Simic, and Vinko Muštra. 2014. "Investigating the Influence of Economic and Socio-Political Openness on Growth." *International Journal of Economic Sciences and Applied Research* 6 (3): 35-59.
- Mauro, Paolo. 1995. "Corruption and Growth." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110: 681-712.
- McDonald, Bruce D., and Robert J. Eger. 2010. "The Defense-Growth Relationship: An Economic Investigation into Post-Soviet States." *Peace Economics, Peace Science and Public Policy* 12(1): 1–26.
- Mihuţ, Ioana S., and Mihaela Luţaş. 2014. "Sustainable growth: recent trends across central and eastern European economies." *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Science Series* 23(1): 175-186.
- Murphy, Kevin M., Andrei Shleifer, and Robert W. Vishny.1993. "Why is Rent-Seeking So Costly to Growth?." *American Economic Review* 84(2): 409-414.
- Pieroni, Luca. 2009. "Military expenditure and economic growth." *Defence and Peace Economics* 20(4): 327-339.
- Prasetyia, Ferry. 2013. "The role of government and private sector on economic development in ASEAN 5." *Journal of global business and economics* 7(1): 54-67.
- Rodriguez, Francisco, and Dani Rodrik. 1999. *Trade Policy and Economic Growth:* a Skeptic's Guide to the Cross-national Evidence, NBER Working Paper 7081, Cambridge MA, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Rodrik, Dani. 1999. "Where did all the growth go? External shocks, social conflict and Growth collapses." *Journal of Economic Growth* 4(4); 385–412.
- Rodrik, Dani. 2000. "Institutions for High-quality Growth: What they are and How to Acquire them." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 35: 3–31.
- Romer, Paul M. 1990. "Endogenous Technological Change." *Journal of Political Economy* 98(I):S71-S102.

- Sala-I-Martin, Xavier, Gernot Doppelhofer, and Ronald Miller. 2004. "Determinants of long-term growth: A Bayesian averaging of classical estimates (BACE) approach." *American Economic Review* 94(4): 813–835.
- Shera, Adela, Bernard Dosti, and Perseta Grabova. 2014. "Corruption impact on Economic Growth: An empirical analysis." *Journal of Economic Development, Management, IT, Finance and Marketing* 6(2): 57-77.
- Simut, Ramona, and Ioana Meşter. 2014. An investigation of cointegration and causality between investments, exports, openness, industrial production and economic growth: A comparative study for the East European countries, *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Science Series* 23(1): 369-378.
- Singh, Tarlok. 2011. "International trade and economic growth nexus in Australia: a robust evidence from time-series estimator." *The World Economy* 34(8): 1348-1394.
- Sultan, Zafar A. and Imdadul M. Haque. 2011. "The Estimation of the Cointegration Relationship between Growth, Domestic Investment and Exports: The Indian Economy." *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 3(4): 226-232.
- Svensson, Jakob. 2003. "Who Must Pay Bribes and How Much?" *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118(1): 207–30.
- Szarowská, Irena. 2012. "The cyclicality of government expenditure and Wagner's law- Case of Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungry, Bulgaria and Romania." Scientific Papers of the University of Pardubice. Series D, Faculty of Economics & Administration 18(24):188-198.
- Tekin, Rifat. 2012. "Economic growth, exports and foreign direct investment in Least Developed Countries: A panel Granger causality analysis." *Economic Modelling* 29: 868–878.
- Ynikkaya, Halit. 2003. "Trade Openness and Economic Growth: a cross country empirical investigation." *Journal of Development Economics* 72: 57-89.